

# Zermelo's First Proof of the Well-ordering Theorem

Zalán Molnár

November 20, 2017

# WOT, AC

## WOT – Well-ordering Theorem

CLAIM: for any set  $X$ , there is an ordering, which well-orders  $X$ .

$$\forall X \exists R (\text{WO}_X(R))$$

# WOT, AC

## WOT – Well-ordering Theorem

CLAIM: for any set  $X$ , there is an ordering, which well-orders  $X$ .

$$\forall X \exists R (\text{WO}_X(R))$$

## Choice Function

A *choice function*  $f$  is defined on a collection of nonempty sets  $X$ , such that, for all  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $f(A)$  is an element of  $A$ .

$$f : X \rightarrow \bigcup X \text{ s.t. } \forall A \in X (f(A) \in A)$$

# WOT, AC

## WOT – Well-ordering Theorem

CLAIM: for any set  $X$ , there is an ordering, which well-orders  $X$ .

$$\forall X \exists R (\text{WO}_X(R))$$

## Choice Function

A *choice function*  $f$  is defined on a collection of nonempty sets  $X$ , such that, for all  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $f(A)$  is an element of  $A$ .

$$f : X \rightarrow \bigcup X \text{ s.t. } \forall A \in X (f(A) \in A)$$

## AC – Axiom of Choice

For any collection of nonempty sets  $X$ , there is a *choice function*  $f$  defined on  $X$ .

$$\forall X (\emptyset \notin X \rightarrow \exists f (f : X \rightarrow \bigcup X \text{ s.t. } \forall A \in X (f(A) \in A)))$$

# AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

- WOT is proved by invoking the new mathematical tool, AC.
- What we prove exactly is that, AC  $\rightarrow$  WOT.

## Definitions

- ▶ Let  $M$  be any arbitrary set, the cardinality of  $M$  is denoted by  $|M|$  and let  $m$  be an arbitrary element of  $M$ .
- ▶ Let  $M' \subseteq M$ , s.t.  $M' \neq \emptyset$  (so  $m \in M'$  for some  $m \in M$ ).
- ▶ Let  $M - M'$  denote the subset complementary to  $M'$ .
- ▶  $\forall M' \forall M'' (\forall X (X \in M' \leftrightarrow X \in M'') \rightarrow M' = M'')$ , where  $M', M'' \subseteq M$ . Otherwise  $M'$  and  $M''$  are different.
- ▶ Set of all subsets  $M'$  is denoted by  $\wp(M)$ .

**Aim is to prove, that  $M$  can be well-ordered!**

## AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

- **Distinguished element:**

For every  $M'$ , there is associated an arbitrary element  $m'_1 \in M'$ . Such  $m'_1$  is the *distinguished* element of  $M'$ . How can we define it?

## AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

- **Distinguished element:**

For every  $M'$ , there is associated an arbitrary element  $m'_1 \in M'$ .  
Such  $m'_1$  is the *distinguished* element of  $M'$ . How can we define it?

Define distinguished element  $m'_1$

Invoke AC and define  $\gamma$  to a choice function as follows:

$$\gamma : \{\wp(M) - \{\emptyset\}\} \rightarrow M \text{ s.t. } \forall M' \in \wp(M) (\gamma(M') \in M').$$



## AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

- **Distinguished element:**

For every  $M'$ , there is associated an arbitrary element  $m'_1 \in M'$ .  
Such  $m'_1$  is the *distinguished* element of  $M'$ . How can we define it?

Define distinguished element  $m'_1$

Invoke AC and define  $\gamma$  to a choice function as follows:

$$\gamma : \{\wp(M) - \{\emptyset\}\} \rightarrow M \text{ s.t. } \forall M' \in \wp(M) (\gamma(M') \in M').$$

Definition of "  $\gamma$ -set"

Using a fixed  $\gamma$ , let  $M_\gamma$  be defined as follow:

- $M_\gamma \subseteq M$
- $M_\gamma$  is well-ordered by some ordering  $\prec$
- if  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $M_\gamma$ , then  $a$  determines a set  $A$  where  $A = \{x \in M : x \prec a\}$  s.t.  $a = \gamma(M - A)$ .

# AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

- 1) Whenever  $M'_\gamma$  and  $M''_\gamma$  are any two distinct set:

$$M'_\gamma \cong \text{seg}_{M''_\gamma, \prec}(a) \text{ for some } a \in M''_\gamma$$

or

$$M''_\gamma \cong \text{seg}_{M'_\gamma, \prec}(a) \text{ for some } a \in M'_\gamma$$

- 2) If two  $\gamma$ -sets have an element in common, say  $a$ , then  $\text{seg}_{M'_\gamma, \prec}(a) = \text{seg}_{M''_\gamma, \prec}(a)$
- 3) If two  $\gamma$ -sets have two common elements  $a$  and  $b$ , then in both set  $a \prec b \vee b \prec a$

REMARK:  $x$  is a  $\gamma$ -element iff  $x \in M_\gamma$  for some  $M_\gamma$ .

# AC $\rightarrow$ WOT

## Proof

Let  $L_\gamma = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_{\gamma_i}$ . We claim, that  $L_\gamma$  is well-ordered and  $L_\gamma = M$ .

- i)  $\text{WO}(L_\gamma)$
- ii)  $L_\gamma$  is a  $\gamma$ -set and the largest such
  - i)  $\text{WO}(L_\gamma)$  set:
    - a)  $\text{Conn}(L_\gamma)$
    - b)  $\text{TO}(L_\gamma)$
    - c)  $\text{WF}_{L_\gamma}(\prec)$

## Proof

ii)  $L_\gamma$  is a  $\gamma$  set:

Let  $a$  be an arbitrary  $\gamma$ -element and  $A = \{x : x \prec a\}$ . In any  $M_\gamma$  containing  $a$ ,  $A = \text{seg}_{M_\gamma, \prec}(a)$ . According to def. of  $\gamma$ -set  $a = \gamma(M - A)$ , so  $L_\gamma$  is a  $\gamma$  set.

$L_\gamma$  is the largest:

Clearly  $L_\gamma \subseteq M$ . We have to prove, that  $M \subseteq L_\gamma$ . Suppose  $\exists x \in M$  s.t.  $x \notin L_\gamma$ . Then  $M - L_\gamma \neq \emptyset$ . But then,  $\exists m'$  s.t.  $m' = \gamma(M - L_\gamma)$ . Now let  $L'_\gamma = L_\gamma \cup \{m'\}$  and define the well-ordering s.t.  $x \prec m'$  for all  $x \in L_\gamma$ . But then  $L'_\gamma$  would be a  $\gamma$ -set, and  $m'$  would be one of its  $\gamma$ -element, which contradict to the assumption, that  $L_\gamma$  is the set of all  $\gamma$  elements.