

<b>Codes:</b> BMA-FILD-402.80, BMI-LOTD-351E.04, BBN-FIL-402.80, BMA-LOTD-351.04
<b>Course:</b> The logic of provability
<b>Teacher:</b> Attila Molnár
<b>Location and time:</b> I/-109, Thu, 14:15 – 15:45
<b>Consultation:</b> Thu, 16:00 – 17:00, molnar.h.attila@gmail.com, phil.elte.hu/attila
<b>First occasion:</b> 12/02/2015, 14:15 – 15:45

<b>Courses required:</b> Logic seminar and lecture
<b>Requirements:</b> Homeworks

<p><b>Description:</b> Mathematics has its own modality; the provability. According to the informal arithmetical provability interpretation, the modal sentence <math>\Box\varphi</math> is true iff <math>\varphi</math> is provable in Peano arithmetic.</p> <p>Formally, we say that a logic PRL is arithmetically sound and complete, iff</p> $\mathbf{PRL} \vdash \varphi \iff \text{for any } *, \mathbf{PA} \vdash \text{tr}_*(\varphi) \quad (1)$ <p>where <math>\text{tr}_*(\varphi)</math>, the <i>arithmetical interpretation</i> is</p> $\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_*(p) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} *(p), \text{ a sentence of } \mathbf{PA} \\ \text{tr}_*(\neg\varphi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg \text{tr}_*(\varphi) \\ \text{tr}_*(\varphi \wedge \psi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}_*(\varphi) \wedge \text{tr}_*(\psi) \\ \text{tr}_*(\Box\varphi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Provable}(\ulcorner \text{tr}_*(\varphi) \urcorner), \end{aligned}$ <p>where Provable is the provability predicate of PA and <math>\ulcorner - \urcorner</math> stands for the Gödel-numbering.</p> <p>Gödel showed in 1933 that no <i>normal alethic</i> logic, more precisely, no modal logic having the axiom and rule</p> $(T) \quad \Box A \rightarrow A \qquad (RN) \quad \frac{A}{\Box A}$ <p>can be arithmetically sound. The obstacle here is <i>Gödel's second incompleteness theorem</i> itself:</p> $\begin{aligned} \vdash \Box(p \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg p) & \text{ instance of the axiom (T)} \\ \vdash \neg \Box(p \wedge \neg p) & \text{ proof by contradiction} \\ \vdash \Box \neg \Box(p \wedge \neg p) & (RN) \end{aligned}$ <p>But the latter says that consistency is provable in the object language, contrary to Gödel's 2nd incompleteness theorem. Is there any modal logic <b>PRL</b> that can satisfy the equivalence (1)? <i>Solovay's first theorem</i> says that yes, there is, and it is the Gödel-Löb logic <b>GL</b>:</p> $(K) \quad \Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B),$ $(RN) \quad \frac{A}{\Box A},$ $(GL) \quad \Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$	<p>Solovay's proof is as beautiful as Gödel's proof of his incompleteness theorems; it reveals the connection between possible world semantics and the provability predicate by embedding Kripke models into <b>PA</b>. If that would not be enough to convince the Reader to take that course, we show one last thing: the core idea of Solovay's proof is based on functions having the following <del>definition</del> property:</p> $f(0) = 0$ $f(n+1) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } \ulcorner n \urcorner \text{ is a Gödel-number} \\ & \text{of a proof that shows that} \\ & m \text{ is not a limit of } f \\ & \text{and } f(n) < m. \\ f(n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ <hr/> <p>The course will contain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a short but precise introduction to Peano arithmetics and modal logics,</li> <li>• the construction of the provability predicate and its modal properties: the Hilbert-Bernays-Löb derivability conditions,</li> <li>• the fixpoint theorems of PA and GL,</li> <li>• the proof of Gödel's incompleteness theorems,</li> <li>• the proof of Solovay's first theorem,</li> <li>• investigations of some other provability logics of arithmetics.</li> </ul>
--	---

<b>Bibliography:</b> [1] George Boolos. <i>The Logic of Provability</i> . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
--