

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, *H* (for having), *Bel* (for believing), *Tr* (for truth).

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, *H* (for having), *Bel* (for believing), *Tr* (for truth).

Atoms for any language: *Prop* (for proposition), 1 (for claiming, 0 (for denying).

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, H (for having), Bel (for believing), Tr (for truth).

Atoms for any language: $Prop$ (for proposition), 1 (for claiming, 0 (for denying).

Coinductive definition of the class $PrePROP$:

It is the largest class each member p of which is of one of the following forms:

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, H (for having), Bel (for believing), Tr (for truth).

Atoms for any language: $Prop$ (for proposition), 1 (for claiming, 0 (for denying).

Coinductive definition of the class $PrePROP$:

It is the largest class each member p of which is of one of the following forms:

- $[a H c]$, $\overline{[a H c]}$, $[a Bel q]$, $\overline{[a Bel q]}$, $[Tr q]$, $\overline{[Tr q]}$
(atomic propositions),

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, H (for having), Bel (for believing), Tr (for truth).

Atoms for any language: $Prop$ (for proposition), 1 (for claiming, 0 (for denying).

Coinductive definition of the class $PrePROP$:

It is the largest class each member p of which is of one of the following forms:

- $[a H c]$, $\overline{[a H c]}$, $[a Bel q]$, $\overline{[a Bel q]}$, $[Tr q]$, $\overline{[Tr q]}$
(atomic propositions),
- $[\bigvee X]$, $[\bigwedge X]$.

Russellian propositions

Atoms for our toy language: Max, Claire, the 52 cards, H (for having), Bel (for believing), Tr (for truth).

Atoms for any language: $Prop$ (for proposition), 1 (for claiming, 0 (for denying).

Coinductive definition of the class $PrePROP$:

It is the largest class each member p of which is of one of the following forms:

- $[a H c]$, $\overline{[a H c]}$, $[a Bel q]$, $\overline{[a Bel q]}$, $[Tr q]$, $\overline{[Tr q]}$
(atomic propositions),
- $[\bigvee X]$, $[\bigwedge X]$.

The propositions $[...]$ are sets that are different for different propositions and contain their constituents in their transitive closure.

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\}), \overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\}), \bar{\bar{p}} = p.$

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$.
- There is an unique such operation $\overline{[\dots]}$: by Solution Lemma.

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$.
- There is an unique such operation $\overline{[\dots]}$: by Solution Lemma.
- $[Fa\ p]$: short for $\overline{[Tr\ p]}$

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$.
- There is an unique such operation $\overline{[\dots]}$: by Solution Lemma.
- $[Fa\ p]$: short for $\overline{[Tr\ p]}$

The unique set f for which $f = [Fa\ f]$ is a member of *PrePROP* (by maximality).

This is the *Russellian Liar*.

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$.
- There is an unique such operation $\overline{[\dots]}$: by Solution Lemma.
- $[Fa\ p]$: short for $\overline{[Tr\ p]}$

The unique set f for which $f = [Fa\ f]$ is a member of *PrePROP* (by maximality).

This is the *Russellian Liar*.

The same for $t = [Tr\ t]$, this is the *Truth-teller*.

Russellian propositions 2.

Negation for compound propositions:

- $\overline{[\bigvee X]} = \bigwedge(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\overline{[\bigwedge X]} = \bigvee(\{\bar{p} | p \in X\})$, $\bar{\bar{p}} = p$.
- There is an unique such operation $\overline{[\dots]}$: by Solution Lemma.
- $[Fa p]$: short for $\overline{[Tr p]}$

The unique set f for which $f = [Fa f]$ is a member of *PrePROP* (by maximality).

This is the *Russellian Liar*.

The same for $t = [Tr t]$, this is the *Truth-teller*.

PrePROP contains arbitrarily long liar-cycles.

An unwanted sort of circularity

An unwanted sort of circularity

$$p = [a H 3\clubsuit] \vee p, q = \overline{[a H 3\clubsuit]} \wedge q, p = p \vee p, q = q \wedge q$$

An unwanted sort of circularity

$p = [a H 3\clubsuit] \vee p$, $q = \overline{[a H 3\clubsuit]} \wedge q$, $p = p \vee p$, $q = q \wedge q$
Not reducible to atomic sentences (just on the same way as
non-wellfounded sets are not reducible to atoms.

An unwanted sort of circularity

$p = [a H 3\clubsuit] \vee p$, $q = \overline{[a H 3\clubsuit]} \wedge q$, $p = p \vee p$, $q = q \wedge q$
Not reducible to atomic sentences (just on the same way as
non-wellfounded sets are not reducible to atoms.

- A set $X \subseteq \text{PrePROP}$ is *nonsubstantive* iff it contains no atomic propositions and every member of X has an immediate constituent that is a member of X , too.

An unwanted sort of circularity

$p = [a H 3\clubsuit] \vee p$, $q = \overline{[a H 3\clubsuit]} \wedge q$, $p = p \vee p$, $q = q \wedge q$
Not reducible to atomic sentences (just on the same way as
non-wellfounded sets are not reducible to atoms.

- A set $X \subseteq \text{PrePROP}$ is *nonsubstantive* iff it contains no atomic propositions and every member of X has an immediate constituent that is a member of X , too.
- p is *nonsubstantive* iff it is a member of a nonsubstantive set; *substantive* in the other case.

An unwanted sort of circularity

$p = [a H 3\clubsuit] \vee p$, $q = \overline{[a H 3\clubsuit]} \wedge q$, $p = p \vee p$, $q = q \wedge q$
Not reducible to atomic sentences (just on the same way as
non-wellfounded sets are not reducible to atoms.

- A set $X \subseteq \text{PrePROP}$ is *nonsubstantive* iff it contains no atomic propositions and every member of X has an immediate constituent that is a member of X , too.
- p is *nonsubstantive* iff it is a member of a nonsubstantive set; *substantive* in the other case.
- *PROP* – the class of *Russellian propositions* – is the largest subset of *PrePROP* such that every $p \in \text{PROP}$ is substantive and every immediate constituent of p is in *PROP*, too.